

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
NOT FOR CITATION
910
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA12 FREDERICK L. JOHNSON,) No. C 03-0274 JF (PR)
13 Petitioner,)
14 vs.) ORDER GRANTING
15 A.K. SCRIBNER,) FURTHER EXTENSION OF
16 Respondent.) TIME TO FILE TRAVERSE
17 _____ (Docket No. 34)18 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks a writ of habeas corpus
19 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Court denied Petitioner's motion to proceed in forma
20 pauperis, ordered Petitioner to pay the filing fee, and granted Petitioner's motion to file an
21 amended petition. Petitioner filed an amended petition in September 2003. Thereafter,
22 the Court dismissed the instant habeas action without prejudice for Petitioner's failure to
23 pay the filing fee. On January 4, 2005, the Court granted Petitioner an extension of time
24 to file a motion for reconsideration. On January 18, 2005, Petitioner filed his motion for
25 reconsideration. The Court granted Petitioner's motion for reconsideration, vacated the
26 judgment and reopened the instant action on September 16, 2005. The Court ordered
27 Respondent to show cause as to why the amended petition should not be granted.
28 Respondent filed an answer addressing the merits of the petition.

1 Petitioner filed a motion for appointment of counsel and a motion for an extension of time
 2 to file a traverse. On January 10, 2007, the Court denied Petitioner's motion for
 3 appointment of counsel and granted Petitioner's motion for an extension of time to file his
 4 traverse. The Court directed Petitioner to file his traverse within thirty days. On
 5 February 20, 2007, Petitioner filed a second request for extension of time to file a
 6 traverse, which was docketed as a traverse (see docket no. 33). On March 19, 2007,
 7 Petitioner filed a third motion for extension of time to file his traverse. On May 21, 2007,
 8 the Court granted Petitioner's motion for extension of time to file a traverse and noted
 9 that the February 20, 2007 traverse was timely filed. However, the Court mistakenly
 10 believed Petitioner had filed a traverse on February 20, 2007, based upon the Court's
 11 docket.

12 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Petitioner a further extension of time to file a
 13 traverse. Petitioner shall file his traverse, and serve a copy on Respondent, **within thirty**
 14 **days** of the date this order is filed. The matter shall be submitted on the date the traverse
 15 is due. The Court will then review the merits of the petition in a separate written order.

16 It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that
 17 all communications with the Court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy
 18 of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the Court and all parties
 19 informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change
 20 of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do
 21 so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal
 22 Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: 6/11/07



JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge

1 A copy of this order was mailed to the following:

2 Frederick L. Johnson
3 J-83092
4 CSP - Sacramento
5 P.O. Box 290066
6 Represa, CA 95671-0066

7 Jill M. Thayer
8 CA State Attorney General's Office
9 455 Golden Gate Avenue
10 Suite 11000
11 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26